

1 LESTER J. MARSTON
2 California State Bar No. 081030
3 RAPPORT AND MARSTON
4 405 West Perkins Street
5 Ukiah, CA 95482
6 Telephone: 707-462-6846
7 Facsimile: 707-462-4235
8 e-mail: marston1@pacbell.net

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

HOPLAND BAND OF POMO INDIANS;) Case No. CV 12-00556 CRB
ROBINSON RANCHERIA OF POMO)
INDIANS OF CALIFORNIA; COYOTE)
VALLEY BAND OF POMO INDIANS;) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
REDDING RANCHERIA; and RINCON) ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING
BAND OF LUISENO MISSION INDIANS) SCHEDULE.
OF THE RINCON RESERVATION,)
CALIFORNIA,)
Plaintiffs,) DATE: September 7, 2012
vs.) TIME: 10:00 a.m.
) CTRM.: 6, 17th Floor
KENNETH SALAZAR, in his official) Hon. Charles R. Breyer
capacity as the Secretary of the United)
States Department of the Interior; LARRY)
ECHO HAWK, in his official capacity as the)
Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs for)
the United States Department of the)
Interior; and DARREN CRUZAN, in his)
official capacity as the Deputy Bureau)
Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of)
Justice Services,)
Defendants.)

)

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, and for good cause shown, the parties stipulate as follows:

1. Plaintiff's Counsel's daughter was involved in an automobile accident on July

STIPULATION TO ESTABLISH BRIEFING SCHEDULE;
REDUCE NUMBER OF BRIEFS, INCREASE PAGE LIMITS
AND PROPOSED ORDER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CV 12-00556 CRB

1 19, 2012. As a result Counsel for the plaintiffs needs an extension of time to file the
2 plaintiffs' combined opposition to the defendants' motion for summary judgment and
3 reply to the defendants' opposition to the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment
4 ("Opposition/Reply Brief"). Counsel for defendants is leaving on a previously
5 scheduled family vacation on July 21, 2012 and will not be returning until August 12,
6 2012, and therefore needs additional time to file the defendants' reply to the plaintiffs'
7 Opposition to the defendants motion for summary judgment.

8 2. Plaintiffs therefore, shall file their Opposition/Reply brief on or before July
9 20, 2012.

10 3. Defendants therefore, shall file their reply to the plaintiffs' Opposition to the
11 defendants motion for summary judgment on or before August 24, 2012.

12 4. The hearing in this case presently scheduled for September 7, 2012, shall not
13 be changed.

14 5. The Court may enter an appropriate order pursuant to this stipulation.

Respectfully Submitted,

16 || DATED: July 20, 2012

RAPPORT AND MARSTON

17 By: /s/ Lester J. Marston
18 Lester J. Marston
19 Attorney for Plaintiffs

DATED: July 20, 2012

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ James D. Todd, Jr. (authorized)

James D. Todd, Jr.
Attorney for Defendants

ORDER

Having read the above stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing
therefor,

28 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the parties' request to modify the existing

STIPULATION TO ESTABLISH BRIEFING SCHEDULE;
REDUCE NUMBER OF BRIEFS, INCREASE PAGE LIMITS
AND PROPOSED ORDER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CV 12-00556 CRB

1 briefing schedule, as provided for in the above stipulation, is hereby granted.
2 DATED: July 24, 2012
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

